

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application, as amended.

By this amendment, the objected to claims have been amended in accordance with the Examiner's recommendations. In addition, the §112 rejections have been addressed and claim 16 has been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

With regard to the rejection of Claim 10 for failing to further limit Claim 1, Claim 1 recites “the *abnormal* biological information is displayed in association with information related to the source of the biological information.” Claim 10 recites “the displaying means further displays *a time-series trend of biological information* with information relating to the source of the biological information.” In Claim 1, at least abnormal biological information is displayed in association with information related to the source of the biological information. In Claim 10, *in addition to* the abnormal biological information, the time-series trend of biological information with information relating to the source of the biological information can be executed – Applicants therefore respectfully submit Claim 10 does further limit Claim 1.

In general, the independent claims have been amended to recite that “the time-series trends for the plurality of biological information are overlapped and displayed on the same graph displaying area.”

The Office Action asserts that Schradi shows that a graph displaying area and a data type displaying area are provided, and a plurality of biological information are displayed in the same graph area with reference to Fig. 2.

However, in Fig. 2 of Schradi, plural graph axis are provided for BRADY, DESAT and APENA DURAT’N, however, the graphs *are not overlapped* and displayed on the same graph area. Additionally, Schradi does not disclose “the time-series trends for plurality of biological information are overlapped and displayed on the same graph displaying area”.

While the Office Action further asserts that that Schradi shows information determined as abnormal is displayed in association with information related to the source of information with reference to Column 10, lines 24-29, Schradi specifically discloses on Column 10, lines 24-29:

An event triggering for a physiological parameter can be set such that it is linked the alarm generation specified by its associated alarm limit. An alarm limit is the value at

which a medical monitoring device triggers an optical and/or acoustical alarm so as to inform a user of the fact that an abnormal or dangerous condition of a patient exists.

Clearly, Schradi does not disclose "the time-series trends for plurality of biological information are overlapped and displayed on the same graph displaying area" and "the biological information determined as the abnormal biological information is displayed in association with information related to the source of the biological information".

In that the remaining references at least fail to overcome these deficiencies, the claims are clearly patentably distinguishable therefrom.

With all objections and rejections having been rendered moot or overcome, Applicants respectfully submit the application is in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that anything further is desirable in order to place the application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicants undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge to Deposit Account No. 19-1970 (5553KOU1-1) any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 that may be required by this paper and to credit any overpayment to that Account. If any extension of time is required in connection with the filing of this paper and has not been separately requested, such extension is hereby Petitioned.

Respectfully submitted,
SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

Date: 25 Mar '01

By:

Jason H. Vick
Reg. No. 45,285
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200
Denver, Colorado 80202
Telephone: 303-863-9700